Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. 13 (2022) No. 1, 615-626

ISSN: 2008-6822 (electronic)

http://dx.doi.org/10.22075/ijnaa.2021.23927.2639



Coefficient bounds for a new family of bi-univalent functions associated with (U,V)-Lucas polynomials

Timilehin Gideon Shaba^{a,*}, Abbas Kareem Wanas^b

(Communicated by Mugur Alexandru Acu)

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to use (U, V)-Lucas polynomials to introduce and study a new family of holomorphic and bi-univalent functions defined in the open unit disk which involve q-derivative operator. We investigate upper bounds for the Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $|d_2|$ and $|d_3|$ and Fekete-Szegö problem for functions belongs to this new family. Some interesting consequences of the initial results established here are indicated.

Keywords: (U, V)-Lucas polynomials, Bi-univalent function, Coefficient bounds, Subordination 2010 MSC: Primary 30C45; Secondary 30C50.

1. Introduction and definition

Lucas, Dickson, Chebyshev, Lucas-Lehmer, Fibonacci and Lucas-Lehmer polynomials all have a lot of interest in current research. These polynomials are essential in mathematics and have a wide range of applications in combinatorics, number theory, numerical analysis and other fields. As a result, they've been thoroughly researched and many generalizations have been made (see, for more details, [16, 21, 23, 28, 51, 53, 7, 18, 25, 29]). Within the discipline of classical mathematical analysis, quantum calculus is a major topic of study. It is a broad subject of study with historical roots as well as contemporary relevance. It is important to note that quantum calculus has a long history that dates back to the work of Bernoulli and Euler. However, due to its wide range of application, it has piqued the interest of modern mathematicians in recent decades. It entails sophisticated calculations and computations, making it more challenging than the rest of the mathematics subjects.

Email addresses: shabatimilehin@gmail.com (Timilehin Gideon Shaba), abbas.kareem.w@qu.edu.iq (Abbas Kareem Wanas)

Received: June 2021 Accepted: September 2021

^aDepartment of Mathematics, University of Ilorin, P. M. B. 1515, Ilorin, Nigeria

^bUniversity of Al-Qadisiyah, College of science, Department of Mathematics, Al Diwaniyah, Al-Qadisiyah, Iraq

^{*}Corresponding author

Due to the enormous demand for mathematics that simulates quantum computing, it has recently sparked interest. Quantum calculus emerged as a link between physics and mathematics. Quantum mechanics, analytic number theory, theory of relativity, mechanical engineering, heat conduction theory, particles physics, nonlinear electric circuit theory, and physics are just some of the fields where it is used. Quantum calculus, often known as q-calculus, replaces the usual derivative with the difference operator, allowing for non-differentiable curves and multiple formulas.

We let $\mathfrak{U} = \{z : z \in \mathfrak{C}, |z| < 1\}$ be a unit disk and let \mathfrak{A} denote the class of analytic functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} d_r z^r \quad (z \in \mathfrak{U})$$
(1.1)

normalized by the condition f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0. Let $S \subset \mathfrak{A}$ be the class of holomorphic and univalent function in \mathfrak{U} .

Lewin [22] presented the class of bi-univalent functions as a subclass of \mathfrak{A} and identified certain coefficient bounds for the class. He showed that $|n_2| \leq 1.15$. Furthermore, the Koebe 1/4 theorem (see [14]) states that the range of every function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ contains the disc $d_{\omega} = \{\omega : |\omega| < 0.25\}$, hence, for all $f \in \mathcal{S}$ with its inverse f^{-1} , such that

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z \quad (z \in \mathfrak{U})$$

and

$$f(f^{-1}(\omega)) = \omega, \quad (\omega : |\omega| < r_0(f); r_0(f) \ge 0.25)$$

where $f^{-1}(\omega)$ is expressed as

$$G(\omega) = \omega - d_2\omega^2 + (2d_2^2 - d_3)\omega^3 - (5d_2^3 - 5d_2d_3 + d_4)\omega^4 + \cdots$$
 (1.2)

So, a function $f \in \mathfrak{A}$ is said to be bi-univalent in \mathfrak{U} if both f(z) and G(z) are univalent in \mathfrak{U} . Let Σ denote the class of holomorphic and bi-univalent functions in \mathfrak{U} .

We know that some familiar functions $f \in \mathcal{S}$ such as the Koebe function $\kappa(z) = z/(1-z)^2$, its rotation function $\kappa_{\varsigma}(z) = z/(1-e^{i\varsigma}z)^2$, $f(z) = z-z^2/2$ and $f(z) = z/(1-z^2)$ are not members of Σ . Also some functions $f \in (\mathcal{S} \cap \Sigma)$ includes f(z) = z, $f(z) = 1/2 \log[(1-z)/(1-z)]$, z/(1-z). For more details see [1, 2, 5, 6, 12, 13, 17, 32, 38, 46, 39, 44, 48, 50, 41, 36, 27, 37, 40, 42, 43, 45, 47, 49, 54, 25, 33, 34, 35].

From [14], let s(z), $S(z) \in \mathfrak{A}$, then $s(z) \prec S(z)$, $z \in \mathfrak{U}$, suppose ω holomorphic in \mathfrak{U} , such that $\omega(0) = 0$, $|\omega(z)| < 1$ and $s(z) = S(\omega(z))$. If the function S(z) is univalent in \mathfrak{U} then $s(z) \prec S(z) \Rightarrow s(0) = S(0)$ and $s(\mathfrak{U}) \subset S(\mathfrak{U})$. The principle of subordination is the name given to this conclusion.

Jackson [20, 19] pioneered the use of q-calculus, and investigations on quantum groups eventually recognized the geometrical meaning of q-analysis. This has inspired many q-theory scholars to extend all of the important conclusions utilizing classical analysis to their q-analogs. See [3, 4, 9, 11, 26, 30, 31] for recent work on q-calculus.

For function $f \in \mathfrak{A}$, the q-derivative of f can be defined by

$$\mathfrak{D}_q f(z) = \frac{f(z) - f(qz)}{(1 - q)z} \qquad (z \neq 0, \ 0 < q < 1)$$
(1.3)

where $\mathfrak{D}_q f(0) = f'(z)$ and $\mathfrak{D}_q^2 f(z) = \mathfrak{D}_q(\mathfrak{D}_q f(z))$. Applying (1.1) and (1.3) we have

$$\mathfrak{D}_q f(z) = 1 + \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} [r]_q d_r z^{r-1}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{D}_{q}^{2}f(z) = \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} [r]_{q}[r-1]_{q}d_{r}z^{r-2}$$

where $[r]_q = \frac{1-q^r}{1-q}$, $[r-1]_q = \frac{1-q^{r-1}}{1-q}$, $\lim_{q \uparrow 1} [r]_q = r$ and $\lim_{q \uparrow 1} [r-1]_q = r-1$.

Let's get started with some definitions.

Definition 1.1. [21] Let U(x) and V(x) be polynomials with real coefficients. The (U,V)-Lucas polynomials $\mathcal{L}_{U,V,t}(x)$ are defined by the recurrence relation

$$\mathcal{L}_{U,V,t}(x) = U(x)\mathcal{L}_{U,V,t-1}(x) + V(x)\mathcal{L}_{U,V,t-2}(x) \ (t \ge 2). \tag{1.4}$$

The first few Lucas polynomials can be found in the following way:

$$\mathcal{L}_{U,V,0}(x) = 2,$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{U,V,1}(x) = U(x),$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{U,V,2}(x) = U^{2}(x) + 2V(x),$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{U,V,3}(x) = U^{3}(x) + 3U(x)V(x).$$
(1.5)

Definition 1.2. [21] Let $\mathcal{M}_{\{\mathcal{L}_t(x)\}}(z)$ be the generating function of the (U, V)-Lucas polynomial sequence $\mathcal{L}_{U,V,t}(x)$. Then

$$\mathcal{M}_{\{\mathcal{L}_t(x)\}}(z) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_{U,V,t}(x)z^t = \frac{2 - U(x)z}{1 - U(x)z - V(x)z^2}.$$
 (1.6)

This section begins with the definition of class $\mathfrak{V}_q^{\Sigma,\delta}(s;x)$ and the estimation of coefficients $|n_2|$ and $|n_3|$ for functions in this class.

Definition 1.3. For $\delta \geq 0$, $|s| \leq 1$ but $s \neq 1$, a function $f \in \Sigma$ is called in the class $\mathfrak{V}_q^{\Sigma,\delta}(s;x)$ if the following subordination conditions are satisfied:

$$\left[\frac{(1-s)z}{f(z)-f(sz)}\right]^{\delta} (\mathfrak{D}_q f)(z) \prec \mathcal{M}_{\{\mathcal{L}_{U,V,t}(x)\}}(z) - 1 \tag{1.7}$$

and

$$\left[\frac{(1-s)\omega}{G(\omega) - G(s\omega)}\right]^{\delta} (\mathfrak{D}_q G)(\omega) \prec \mathcal{M}_{\{\mathcal{L}_{U,V,t}(x)\}}(\omega) - 1. \tag{1.8}$$

By choosing special values for s and δ the class $\mathfrak{V}_q^{\Sigma,\delta}(s;x)$ reduces some interesting new classes:

Remark 1.4. For s = 0, we have the new class

$$\mathfrak{V}_{q}^{\Sigma,\delta}(0;x) = \mathfrak{V}_{q}^{\Sigma,\delta}(x).$$

The class $\mathfrak{V}_q^{\Sigma,\delta}(x)$ consists of the functions of $f \in \Sigma$ satisfying

$$\left[\frac{z}{f(z)}\right]^{\delta} (\mathfrak{D}_q f)(z) \prec \mathcal{M}_{\{\mathcal{L}_{U,V,t}(x)\}}(z) - 1 \tag{1.9}$$

and

$$\left[\frac{\omega}{G(\omega)}\right]^{\delta} (\mathfrak{D}_q G)(\omega) \prec \mathcal{M}_{\{\mathcal{L}_{U,V,t}(x)\}}(\omega) - 1. \tag{1.10}$$

Remark 1.5. For $\delta = 0$, we have the new class

$$\mathfrak{V}_q^{\Sigma,0}(s;x) = \mathfrak{V}_q^{\Sigma}(x).$$

The class $\mathfrak{V}^\Sigma_q(x)$ consists of the functions of $f \in \Sigma$ satisfying

$$(\mathfrak{D}_q f)(z) \prec \mathcal{M}_{\{\mathcal{L}_{U,V,t}(x)\}}(z) - 1 \tag{1.11}$$

and

$$(\mathfrak{D}_q G)(\omega) \prec \mathcal{M}_{\{\mathcal{L}_{U,V,t}(x)\}}(\omega) - 1. \tag{1.12}$$

Remark 1.6. For $\delta = 1$, we have the new class

$$\mathfrak{V}^{\Sigma,1}_q(s;x)=\mathfrak{V}^\Sigma_q(s;x).$$

The class $\mathfrak{V}^\Sigma_q(s;x)$ consists of the functions of $f\in\Sigma$ satisfying

$$\left[\frac{(1-s)z}{f(z)-f(sz)}\right](\mathfrak{D}_q f)(z) \prec \mathcal{M}_{\{\mathcal{L}_{U,V,t}(x)\}}(z) - 1 \tag{1.13}$$

and

$$\left[\frac{(1-s)\omega}{G(\omega) - G(s\omega)}\right] (\mathfrak{D}_q G)(\omega) \prec \mathcal{M}_{\{\mathcal{L}_{U,V,t}(x)\}}(\omega) - 1. \tag{1.14}$$

Remark 1.7. For $\delta = 1$ and s = 0, we have the new class

$$\mathfrak{V}_q^{\Sigma,1}(0;x) = \mathfrak{V}_q^{\Sigma}(x).$$

The class $\mathfrak{V}^\Sigma_q(x)$ consists of the functions of $f \in \Sigma$ satisfying

$$\left[\frac{z(\mathfrak{D}_q f)(z)}{f(z)}\right] \prec \mathcal{M}_{\{\mathcal{L}_{U,V,t}(x)\}}(z) - 1 \tag{1.15}$$

and

$$\left[\frac{\omega(\mathfrak{D}_q G)(\omega)}{G(\omega)}\right] \prec \mathcal{M}_{\{\mathcal{L}_{U,V,t}(x)\}}(\omega) - 1. \tag{1.16}$$

Our first main result is given by Theorem 1.8 below.

Theorem 1.8. Let $f(z) \in \mathfrak{V}_q^{\Sigma,\delta}(s;x)$, then

$$|d_{2}| \leq \frac{|U(x)|\sqrt{|U(x)|}}{\left| U^{2}(x) \left[\delta \left[\frac{1+\delta}{2} (1+s)^{2} - [2]_{q} (1+s) \right] + ([3]_{q} - \delta(1+s+s^{2})) - ([2]_{q} - \delta(1+s))^{2} \right] - 2V(x)([2]_{q} - \delta(1+s))^{2} \right]}, \tag{1.17}$$

and

$$|d_3| \le \frac{U^2(x)}{[[2]_q - \delta(1+s)]^2} + \frac{|U(x)|}{|[3]_q - \delta(1+s+s^2)|}.$$
(1.18)

Proof. Let $f(z) \in \mathfrak{V}_q^{\Sigma,\delta}(s;x)$. Then, from Definition (1.2), for some holomorphic functions Υ , Φ such that $\Upsilon(0) = \Phi(0) = 0$ and $|\Upsilon(z)| < 1$, $|\Phi(z)| < 1$, for all $z, \omega \in \mathfrak{U}$, we can have

$$\left[\frac{(1-s)z}{f(z)-f(sz)}\right]^{\delta}(\mathfrak{D}_q f)(z) = \mathcal{M}_{\{\mathcal{L}_{U,V,t}(x)\}}(\Phi(z)) - 1$$

and

$$\left[\frac{(1-s)\omega}{G(\omega)-G(s\omega)}\right]^{\delta}(\mathfrak{D}_qG)(\omega)=\mathcal{M}_{\{\mathcal{L}_{U,V,t}(x)\}}(\Upsilon(\omega))-1,$$

by equivalence

$$\left[\frac{(1-s)z}{f(z)-f(sz)}\right]^{\delta}(\mathfrak{D}_q f)(z) = -1 + \mathcal{L}_{U,V,0}(x) + \mathcal{L}_{U,V,1}(x)\Phi(z) + \mathcal{L}_{U,V,2}(x)\Phi^2(z) + \cdots$$
(1.19)

and

$$\left[\frac{(1-s)\omega}{G(\omega)-G(s\omega)}\right]^{\delta}(\mathfrak{D}_q G)(\omega) = -1 + \mathcal{L}_{U,V,0}(x) + \mathcal{L}_{U,V,1}(x)\Upsilon(\omega) + \mathcal{L}_{U,V,2}(x)\Upsilon^2(\omega) + \cdots$$
(1.20)

From (1.19) and (1.20), yields

$$\left[\frac{(1-s)z}{f(z)-f(sz)}\right]^{\delta} (\mathfrak{D}_q f)(z) = 1 + \mathcal{L}_{U,V,1}(x)y_1 z + \left[\mathcal{L}_{U,V,1}(x)y_2 + \mathcal{L}_{U,V,2}(x)y_1^2\right] z^2 + \cdots$$
 (1.21)

and

$$\left[\frac{(1-s)\omega}{G(\omega)-G(s\omega)}\right]^{\delta}(\mathfrak{D}_qG)(\omega) = 1 + \mathcal{L}_{U,V,1}(x)\mu_1\omega + \left[\mathcal{L}_{U,V,1}(x)\mu_2 + \mathcal{L}_{U,V,2}(x)\mu_1^2\right]\omega^2 + \cdots$$
(1.22)

If for $z, \omega \in \mathfrak{U}$, it is already known that

$$|\Phi(z)| = \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} y_j z^j \right| < 1$$

and

$$|\Upsilon(\omega)| = \left|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j \omega^j\right| < 1,$$

then

$$|y_j| < 1 \tag{1.23}$$

and

$$|\mu_i| < 1 \tag{1.24}$$

where $j \in \mathfrak{N}$. When the corresponding coefficients in (1.21) and (1.22) are compared, we get

$$[[2]_q - \delta(1+s)]d_2 = \mathcal{L}_{U,V,1}(x)y_1, \tag{1.25}$$

$$\delta \left[\frac{1+\delta}{2} (1+s)^2 - [2]_q (1+s) \right] d_2^2 + ([3]_q - \delta(1+s+s^2)) d_3 = \mathcal{L}_{U,V,1}(x) y_2 + \mathcal{L}_{U,V,2}(x) y_1^2$$
 (1.26)

$$[\delta(1+s) - [2]_q]d_2 = \mathcal{L}_{U,V,1}(x)\mu_1, \tag{1.27}$$

$$\left(\delta \left[\frac{1+\delta}{2}(1+s)^2 - [2]_q(1+s)\right] + 2([3]_q - \delta(1+s+s^2))\right) d_2^2 - ([3]_q - \delta(1+s+s^2))d_3 = \mathcal{L}_{U,V,1}(x)\mu_2 + \mathcal{L}_{U,V,2}(x)\mu_1^2. \quad (1.28)^2 + (1.28)^2$$

From (1.25) and (1.27)

$$y_1 = -\mu_1, (1.29)$$

$$2[[2]_q - \delta(1+s)]^2 d_2^2 = \mathcal{L}_{UV,1}^2(x)(y_1^2 + \mu_1^2). \tag{1.30}$$

Summation of (1.26) and (1.28) gives

$$2\delta \left[\frac{1+\delta}{2} (1+s)^2 - [2]_q (1+s) \right] d_2^2 + 2([3]_q - \delta(1+s+s^2)) d_2^2$$

$$= \mathcal{L}_{U,V,1}(x) (y_2 + \mu_2) + \mathcal{L}_{U,V,2}(x) (y_1^2 + \mu_1^2) = \mathcal{L}_{U,V,1}(x) (y_2 + \mu_2)$$

$$+ \mathcal{L}_{U,V,2}(x) \left[\frac{2[[2]_q - \delta(1+s)]^2 d_2^2}{\mathcal{L}_{U,V,1}^2(x)} \right]. \quad (1.31)$$

Applying (1.30) in (1.31), yields

$$\left[2\mathcal{L}_{U,V,1}^{2}(x)\left[\delta\left[\frac{1+\delta}{2}(1+s)^{2}-[2]_{q}(1+s)\right]+([3]_{q}-\delta(1+s+s^{2}))\right] -2\mathcal{L}_{U,V,2}(x)[[2]_{q}-\delta(1+s)]^{2}\right]d_{2}^{2}=\mathcal{L}_{U,V,1}^{3}(x)(y_{2}+\mu_{2}) \quad (1.32)$$

$$\left[U^{2}(x) \left[2 \left[\delta \left[\frac{1+\delta}{2} (1+s)^{2} - [2]_{q} (1+s) \right] + ([3]_{q} - \delta(1+s+s^{2})) \right] - 2[[2]_{q} - \delta(1+s)]^{2} \right] - 4V(x)[[2]_{q} - \delta(1+s)]^{2} d_{2}^{2} = U^{3}(x)(y_{2} + \mu_{2})$$

which gives

$$|d_2| \le \frac{|U(x)|\sqrt{|U(x)|}}{\sqrt{|U(x)|}} \sqrt{\frac{|U^2(x)| \left[\delta\left[\frac{1+\delta}{2}(1+s)^2 - [2]_q(1+s)\right] + ([3]_q - \delta(1+s+s^2))}{-([2]_q - \delta(1+s))^2}\right] - 2V(x)([2]_q - \delta(1+s))^2}$$

Hence, (1.26) minus (1.28) gives us

$$2[[3]_q - \delta(1+s+s^2)]d_3 - 2[[3]_q - \delta(1+s+s^2)]d_2^2 = \mathcal{L}_{U,V,1}(x)(y_2 - \mu_2).$$
(1.33)

Then, by using (1.29) and (1.30) in (1.33), we get

$$d_3 = d_2^2 + \frac{\mathcal{L}_{U,V,1}(x)(y_2 - \mu_2)}{2[[3]_q - \delta(1 + s + s^2)]}$$
(1.34)

$$= \frac{\mathcal{L}_{U,V,1}^2(x)(y_1^2 + \mu_1^2)}{2[[2]_q - \delta(1+s)]^2} + \frac{\mathcal{L}_{U,V,1}(x)(y_2 - \mu_2)}{2[[3]_q - \delta(1+s+s^2)]}.$$
 (1.35)

Applying (1.5), we have

$$|d_3| \le \frac{U^2(x)}{[[2]_q - \delta(1+s)]^2} + \frac{|U(x)|}{|[3]_q - \delta(1+s+s^2)|}.$$

As a result, the proof of our primary theorem is complete. \square

2. Corollaries

We get the following by specializing the parameters s, δ , in Theorem 1.8:

Corollary 2.1. Let $f(z) \in \mathfrak{V}^{\Sigma,1}_q(s;x) = \mathfrak{V}^\Sigma_q(s;x)$, then

$$|d_2| \le \frac{|U(x)|\sqrt{|U(x)|}}{\sqrt{\left|U^2(x)\left[s(1-[2]_q)-[2]_q+[3]_q-([2]_q-(1+s))^2\right]}},$$

$$-V(x)[[2]_q-(1+s)]^2},$$
(2.1)

$$|d_3| \le \frac{U^2(x)}{[[2]_q - (1+s)]^2} + \frac{|U(x)|}{|[3]_q - (1+s+s^2)|}.$$
 (2.2)

Corollary 2.2. Let $f(z) \in \mathfrak{V}_q^{\Sigma,\delta}(0;x) = \mathfrak{V}_q^{\Sigma,\delta}(x)$, then

$$|d_2| \le \frac{|U(x)|\sqrt{|U(x)|}}{\sqrt{\left|U^2(x)\left[\delta\left[\frac{1+\delta}{2} - [2]_q\right] + ([3]_q - \delta) - ([2]_q - \delta)^2\right] - 2V(x)([2]_q - \delta)^2\right|}},$$
(2.3)

$$|d_3| \le \frac{U^2(x)}{[[2]_q - \delta]^2} + \frac{|U(x)|}{[[3]_q - \delta]}.$$
(2.4)

Corollary 2.3. Let $f(z) \in \mathfrak{V}^{\Sigma,0}_q(s;x) = \mathfrak{V}^\Sigma_q(s;x)$, then

$$|d_2| \le \frac{|U(x)|\sqrt{|U(x)|}}{\sqrt{\left|U^2(x)\{[3]_q - [2]_q^2\} + 2[2]_q^2V(x)\right|}},$$

$$|d_3| \le \frac{U^2(x)}{[2]_q^2} + \frac{|U(x)|}{[3]_q}.$$

Corollary 2.4. [54] Let $f(z) \in \mathfrak{V}_q^{\Sigma,0}(s;x) = \mathfrak{V}_q^{\Sigma}(s;x)$, then as $q \uparrow 1$

$$|d_2| \le \frac{|U(x)|\sqrt{|U(x)|}}{\sqrt{\left|U^2(x) + 8V(x)\right|}},$$

$$|d_3| \le \frac{U^2(x)}{4} + \frac{|U(x)|}{3}.$$

Corollary 2.5. Let $f(z) \in \mathfrak{V}_q^{\Sigma,1}(0;x) = \mathfrak{V}_q^{\Sigma}(x)$, then

$$|d_2| \le \frac{|U(x)|\sqrt{|U(x)|}}{\sqrt{\left|U^2(x)\left[[3]_q + [2]_q - [2]_q^2 - 1\right] - 2V(x)([2]_q - 1)^2\right|}},$$
(2.5)

$$|d_3| \le \frac{U^2(x)}{[[2]_q - 1]^2} + \frac{|U(x)|}{|[3]_q - 1|}.$$
(2.6)

Corollary 2.6. [24] Let $f(z) \in \mathfrak{V}_q^{\Sigma,1}(0;x) = \mathfrak{V}_q^{\Sigma}(x)$, then as $q \uparrow 1$

$$|d_2| \le U(x) \frac{\sqrt{|U(x)|}}{\sqrt{2|V(x)|}},$$
 (2.7)

$$|d_3| \le U^2(x) + \frac{|U(x)|}{2}. (2.8)$$

The Fekete-Szegö functional upper bound is given by the following theorem:

Theorem 2.7. For $\delta \geq 0$, $|s| \leq 1$ but $s \neq 1$, let $f \in \mathfrak{A}$ be in the class $\mathfrak{V}_q^{\Sigma,\delta}(s;x)$. Then

$$|d_3 - \chi d_2^2| \le \begin{cases} \frac{|U(x)|}{|[3]_q - \delta(1+s+s^2)|}, & |\chi - 1| \le H\\ \frac{|1 - \chi| \cdot |U^3(x)|}{|U^2(x)\Lambda - 2V(x)[[2]_q - \delta(1+s)]^2|}, & |\chi - 1| \ge H. \end{cases}$$

Where

$$H = \frac{1}{|[3]_q - \delta[1+s+s^2]|} \left| \Lambda - 2([2]_q - \delta(1+s))^2 \frac{V(x)}{U^2(x)} \right|$$

$$\Lambda = \delta \left[\frac{1+\delta}{2} (1+s)^2 - [2]_q (1+s) \right] + ([3]_q - \delta(1+s+s^2)) - ([2]_q - \delta(1+s))^2.$$

Proof. From (1.32) and (1.33), we get

$$d_3 - \chi d_2^2 = \mathcal{L}_{U,V,1}(x) \left[\left(G(\chi; x) + \frac{1}{2[[3]_q - \delta(1+s+s^2)]} \right) y_2 + \left(G(\chi; x) - \frac{1}{2[[3]_q - \delta(1+s+s^2)]} \right) \mu_2 \right]$$

where

$$G(\chi;x) = \frac{\mathcal{L}_{U,V,1}^2(x)(1-\chi)}{2\mathcal{L}_{U,V,1}^2(x)\left[\delta\left[\frac{1+\delta}{2}(1+s)^2 - [2]_q(1+s)\right] + ([3]_q - \delta(1+s+s^2))\right] - 2\mathcal{L}_{U,V,2}(x)[[2]_q - \delta(1+s)]^2}.$$

Thus, according to (1.5), we have

$$\left| d_3 - \chi d_2^2 \right| \le \begin{cases} \frac{U(x)}{|[3]_q - \delta(1+s+s^2)|}, & 0 \le |G(\chi; x)| \le \frac{1}{2[[3]_q - \delta(1+s+s^2)]} \\ 2|G(\chi; x)| \cdot |U(x)|, & |G(\chi; x)| \ge \frac{1}{2[[3]_q - \delta(1+s+s^2)]} \end{cases}$$

hence, after some calculations, gives

$$\left| d_3 - \chi d_2^2 \right| \le \begin{cases} \frac{|U(x)|}{|[3]_q - \delta(1+s+s^2)|}, & |\chi - 1| \le H \\ \frac{|1 - \chi| \cdot |U^3(x)|}{|U^2(x)\Lambda - 2V(x)[[2]_q - \delta(1+s)]^2|}, & |\chi - 1| \ge H. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 2.8. For s=0, let $f\in\mathfrak{A}$ be in the class $\mathfrak{V}_q^{\Sigma,\delta}(0;x)=\mathfrak{V}_q^{\Sigma,\delta}(x)$. Then

$$\left|d_3 - \chi d_2^2\right| \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \frac{|U(x)|}{|[3]_q - \delta|}, & |\chi - 1| \leqq H_1 \\ \\ \frac{|1 - \chi| \cdot |U^3(x)|}{|U^2(x)\Lambda_1 - 2V(x)[[2]_q - \delta]^2|}, & |\chi - 1| \geqq H_1. \end{array} \right.$$

Where

$$H_1 = \frac{1}{|[3]_q - \delta|} \left| \Lambda_1 - 2([2]_q - \delta)^2 \frac{V(x)}{U^2(x)} \right|$$
$$\Lambda_1 = \delta \left[\frac{1 + \delta}{2} - [2]_q \right] + ([3]_q - \delta) - ([2]_q - \delta)^2.$$

Corollary 2.9. For $\delta = 0$, let $f \in \mathfrak{A}$ be in the class $\mathfrak{V}_q^{\Sigma,0}(s;x) = \mathfrak{V}_q^{\Sigma}(x)$. Then

$$\left| d_3 - \chi d_2^2 \right| \le \begin{cases} \frac{|U(x)|}{|3|_q}, & |\chi - 1| \le H_2 \\ \frac{|1 - \chi| \cdot |U^3(x)|}{|U^2(x)\Lambda_2 - 2V(x)[2]_q^2|}, & |\chi - 1| \ge H_2. \end{cases}$$

Where

$$H_2 = \frac{1}{[3]_q} \left| \Lambda_2 - 2[2]_q^2 \frac{V(x)}{U^2(x)} \right|$$
$$\Lambda_2 = [3]_q - [2]_q^2.$$

Corollary 2.10. [8] For $\delta = 0$, let $f \in \mathfrak{A}$ be in the class $\mathfrak{V}_q^{\Sigma,0}(s;x) = \mathfrak{V}_q^{\Sigma}(x)$. Then as $q \uparrow 1$

$$\left| d_3 - \chi d_2^2 \right| \le \begin{cases} \frac{|U(x)|}{3}, & |\chi - 1| \le \frac{1}{3} \left| 1 + 8 \frac{V(x)}{U^2(x)} \right| \\ \frac{|1 - \chi| \cdot |U^3(x)|}{|U^2(x) + 8V(x)|}, & |\chi - 1| \ge \frac{1}{3} \left| 1 + 8 \frac{V(x)}{U^2(x)} \right|. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 2.11. For $\delta = 1$, let $f \in \mathfrak{A}$ be in the class $\mathfrak{V}_q^{\Sigma,1}(s;x) = \mathfrak{V}_q^{\Sigma}(s;x)$. Then

$$\left|d_3 - \chi d_2^2\right| \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \frac{|U(x)|}{|[3]_q - (1+s+s^2)|}, & |\chi - 1| \leqq H_3 \\ \\ \frac{|1 - \chi| \cdot |U^3(x)|}{|U^2(x)\Lambda_3 - 2V(x)[[2]_q - (1+s)]^2|}, & |\chi - 1| \geqq H_3. \end{array} \right.$$

Where

$$H_3 = \frac{1}{|[3]_q - [1+s+s^2]|} \left| \Lambda_3 - 2([2]_q - (1+s))^2 \frac{V(x)}{U^2(x)} \right|$$
$$\Lambda_3 = s(1-[2]_q) - [2]_q + [3]_q - ([2]_q - (1+s))^2.$$

Corollary 2.12. For $\delta=1,\ s=0,\ let\ f\in\mathfrak{A}$ be in the class $\mathfrak{V}^{\Sigma,1}_q(0;x)=\mathfrak{V}^\Sigma_q(x)$. Then

$$\left|d_3 - \chi d_2^2\right| \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \frac{|U(x)|}{|[3]_q - 1|}, & |\chi - 1| \leqq H_4 \\ \\ \frac{|1 - \chi| \cdot |U^3(x)|}{|U^2(x)\Lambda_4 - 2V(x)[[2]_q - 1]^2|}, & |\chi - 1| \geqq H_4. \end{array} \right.$$

Where

$$H_4 = \frac{1}{|[3]_q - 1|} \left| \Lambda_4 - 2([2]_q - 1)^2 \frac{V(x)}{U^2(x)} \right|$$
$$\Lambda_4 = [3]_q + [2]_q - [2]_q^2 - 1.$$

Corollary 2.13. [52] For $\delta=1,\ s=0,\ let\ f\in\mathfrak{A}$ be in the class $\mathfrak{V}^{\Sigma,1}_q(0;x)=\mathfrak{V}^\Sigma_q(x)$. Then as $q\uparrow 1$

$$\left| d_3 - \chi d_2^2 \right| \le \begin{cases} \frac{|U(x)|}{2}, & |\chi - 1| \le \frac{|V(x)|}{U^2(x)} \\ \frac{|1 - \chi| \cdot |U^3(x)|}{2|V(x)|}, & |\chi - 1| \ge \frac{|V(x)|}{U^2(x)}. \end{cases}$$

References

- [1] A. Akgül, (P, Q)-Lucas polynomial coefficient inequalities of the bi-univalent function class, Turk. J. Math. 43 (2019) 2170—2176.
- [2] A. Akgül and F.M. Sakar, certain subclass of bi-univalent analytic functions introduced by means of the q-analogue of Noor integral operator and Horadam polynomials, Turk. J. Math. 43 (2019) 2275–2286.
- [3] H. Aldweby and M. Darus, A subclass of harmonic univalent functions associated with q-analogue of Dziok-Srivastava operator, ISRN Math. Anal. 2013 (2013), Article ID 382312, 6 pages.
- [4] H. Aldweby and M. Darus, Coefficient estimates for initial taylor-maclaurin coefficients for a subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions associated with q-derivative operator, Recent Trends Pure Appl. Math. 2017 (2017).
- [5] R.M. Ali, S.K. Lee, V. Ravichandran and S. Supramanian, Coefficient estimates for bi-univalent Ma-Minda starlike and convex functions, Appl. Math. Lett. 25(3) (2012) 344—351
- [6] S. Altinkaya and S. Yalçin, On the (p,q)-Lucas polynomial coefficient bounds of the bi-univalent function class, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex. 25 (2019) 567—575.
- [7] S. Altinkaya and S. Yalçin, The (p,q)-Chebyshev polynomial bounds of a general bi-univalent function class, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex. 26 (2019) 341—348.
- [8] S. Altinkaya and S. Yalçin, Some application of the (p,q)-Lucas polynomials to the bi-univalent function class Σ, Math. Sci. Appl. E-Notes 8(1) (2020) 134—141.

- [9] A. Aral, V. Gupta and R.P. Agarwal, Application of q-Calculus in Operator Theory, Springer, New York, USA, 2013.
- [10] K.O. Babalola, On λ -pseudo-starlike functions, J. Class. Anal. 3(2) (2013) 137–147.
- [11] S. Bulut, Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions involving the q-derivative operator, Communications Faculty of Sciences University of Series A1: Math. Stat. 66(1) (2017) 108–114.
- [12] M. Çağlar, E. Deniz and H.M. Srivastava, Second Hankel determinant for certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions, Turk. J. Math. 41 (2017) 694–706.
- [13] M. Çağlar, H. Orhan and N. Yagmur, Coefficient bounds for new subclasses of bi-univalent functions, Filomat 27(7) (2013) 1165—1171.
- [14] P.L. Duren, Univalent Functions, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer, New York, 1983.
- [15] P. Filipponi and A.F. Horadam, *Derivative sequences of Fibonacci and Lucas polynomials*, Applications of Fibonacci Numbers, Springer, 1991, pp. 99–108.
- [16] P. Filipponi and A.F. Horadam, Second derivative sequences of Fibonacci and Lucas polynomials. Fibonacci Q. 31(3) (1993) 194-204.
- [17] P. Goswami, B.S. Alkahtani and T. Bulboaca, Estimate for initial Maclaurin coefficients of certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions, (2015) arXiv:1503.04644v1 [math.CV]
- [18] H. Ö Güney, G. Murugusundaramoorthy and K. Vijaya, Coefficient bounds for subclasses of biunivalent functions associated with the chebyshev polynomials, J. Complex Anal. (2017) Article ID: 4150210, 7 pages.
- [19] F.H. Jackson, On q-functions and a certain difference operator, Trans. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh 46 (1908) 253–281.
- [20] F.H. Jackson, On q-definite integrals, Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math. 41 (1910) 193–203.
- [21] G.Y. Lee and M. Asci, Some properties of the (p,q)-Fibonacci and (p,q)-Lucas polynomials, J. Appl. Math. (2012) Article ID: 264842, 1–18.
- [22] M. Lewin, On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 18(1) (1967) 63-68.
- [23] A. Lupas, A guide of Fibonacci and Lucas polynomials, Octagon Math. Mag. 7 (1999) 2–12 .
- [24] G. Murugusundaramoorthy and S. Yalçin, On λ -Pseudo bi-starlike functions related (p,q)-Lucas polynomial, Lib. Math. (N.S.) 39(2) (2019) 79–88.
- [25] G. Murugusundaramoorthy, K. Vijaya and H. ÖGüney, On λ-pseudo bi-starlike functions with respect to symmetric points associated to shell-like curves, Kragujevac J. Math. 45 (1) (2021) 103–114.
- [26] F. Müge Sakar and M. O. Güney, Coefficient estimates for certain subclasses of m-mold symmetric bi-univalent functions defined by the q-derivative operator, Konuralp J. Math. 6 (2) (2018) 279–285.
- [27] H. Orhan and H. Arikan, Lucas polynomial coefficients inequalities of bi-univalent functions defined by the combination of both operators of Al-Oboudi and Ruscheweyh, Afr. Mat. 32 (2021) 589–598.
- [28] A. Özkoç and A. Porsuk, \hat{A} note for the (p,q)-Fibonacci and Lucas quaternion polynomials, Konuralp J. Math. 5(2)~(2017)~36-46.
- [29] A.B. Patil and T.G. Shaba, On sharp Chebyshev polynomial bounds for general subclassof bi-univalent functions, Appl. Sci. 23 (2021) 109–117.
- [30] T.M. Seoudy and M.K. Aouf, Convolution properties for $\mathfrak C$ certain classes of analytic functions defined by q-derivative operator, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2014 (2014), Article ID 846719, 7 pages.
- [31] T.M. Seoudy and M.K. Aouf, Coefficient estimates of new classes of q-starlike and q-convex functions of complex order, J. Math. Inequal. 10 (1) (2016) 135–145.
- [32] T. G. Shaba, Subclass of bi-univalent functions satisfying subordinate conditions defined by Frasin differential operator, Turkish J. Inequal. 4 (2) (2020) 50–58.
- [33] T.G. Shaba, On some new subclass of bi-univalent functions associated with Opoola differential operator, Open J. Math. Anal. 4(2) (2020) 74—79.
- [34] T.G. Shaba, Certain new subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions using Salagean operator, Asia Pac. J. Math. 7(29) (2020) 1—11.
- [35] T.G. Shaba, A.A. Ibrahim and A.A. Jimoh, On a new subclass of bi-pseudo-starlike functions defined by frasin differential operator, Adv. Math. Sci. J. 9(7) (2020) 4829-4841.
- [36] H.M. Srivastava, S. Altınkaya and S. Yalçın, Certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions associated with the Horadam polynomials. Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Trans. Sci. 43 (2019) 1873–1879.
- [37] H. M. Srivastava, S. Bulut, M. Caglar and N. Yagmur, Coefficient estimates for a general subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions, Filomat 27 (2013) 831—842.
- [38] H.M. Srivastava and S.S. Eker, Some applications of a subordination theorem for a class of analytic functions, Appl. Math. Lett. 21 (2008) 394—399.
- [39] H.M. Srivastava, S.S. Eker and R.M. Ali, Coefficient bounds for a certain class of analytic and bi-univalent functions, Filomat 29(8) (2015) 1839—1845.

[40] H.M. Srivastava, S.S. Eker and S.G. Hamidi and J.M. Jahangiri, Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for biunivalent functions defined by the Tremblay fractional derivative operator, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc. 44 (2018) 149– –157.

- [41] H.M. Srivastava, S. Gaboury and F. Ghanim, Coefficient estimates for some general subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, Afr. Mat. 28 (2017) 693–706.
- [42] H. M. Srivastava, S. Hussain, A. Raziq and M. Raza, The Fekete-Szego functional for a subclass of analytic functions associated with quasi-subordination, Carpathian J. Math. 34 (2018) 103—113.
- [43] H.M. Srivastava, S. Khan, Q.Z. Ahmad and N. Khan and S. Hussain, The Faber polynomial expansion method and its application to the general coefficient problem for some subclasses of bi-univalent functions associated with a certain q-integral operator, Stud. Univ. Babes, Bolyai Math. 63 (2018) 419–436.
- [44] H.M. Srivastava, N. Magesh and J. Yamini, Initial coefficient estimates for bi-λ-convex and bi-μ-starlike functions connected with arithmetic and geometric means, Electron. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 2(2) (2014) 152–162.
- [45] H.M. Srivastava, A.K. Mishra and M.K. Das, *The Fekete-Szego problem for a subclass of close-to-convex functions*, Complex Variables Theory Appl. 44 (2001) 145—163
- [46] H.M. Srivastava, A.K. Mishra and P. Gochhayat, Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, Appl. Math. Lett. 23(10) (2010) 1188—1192.
- [47] H.M. Srivastava, A. Motamednezhad and E.A. Adegani, Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for bi-univalent functions defined by using differential subordination and a certain fractional derivative operator, Math. 8 (2020) Article ID 172, 1—12.
- [48] H.M. Srivastava, F.M. Sakar and H.Ö. Güney, Some general coefficient estimates for a new class of analytic and bi-univalent functions defined by a linear combination, Filomat 34 (2018) 1313–1322.
- [49] H.M. Srivastava and A. K. Wanas, *Initial Maclaurin coefficient bounds for new subclasses of analytic and m-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions defined by a linear combination*, Kyungpook Math. J. 59 (2019) 493–503.
- [50] H. Tang, H.M. Srivastava, S. Sivasubramanian and P. Gurusamy, The Fekete-Szegö functional problems for some subclasses of m-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, J. Math. Inequal. 10(4) (2016) 1063-1092.
- [51] P. Vellucci and A.M. Bersani, The class of Lucas-Lehmer polynomials, Rend. Mat. Appl. 37 (2016) 43–62.
- [52] A.K. Wanas, Application of (M, N)-Lucas polynomials for holomorphic and bi-univalent functions, Filomat 39(10) (2020) 3361-3368.
- [53] T. Wang and W. Zhang, Some identities involving Fibonacci, Lucas polynomials and their applications, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roum. 55(1) (2012) 95–103.
- [54] S. Yalçin, K. Muthunagai and G. Saravanan, A subclass with bi-univalent involving The (p,q)-Lucas polynomials and its coefficient bounds, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex. 26 (2020) 1015–1022.