



OPTIMIZATION PRINCIPLE AND ITS' APPLICATION IN OPTIMIZING LANDMARK UNIVERSITY BAKERY PRODUCTION USING LINEAR PROGRAMMING

N. K Oladejo, A. Abolarinwa, S.O Salawu and A.F Lukman

Physical Science Department, Landmark University, Kwara State Nigeria

H.I Bukari

Bagabaga College of Education, Tamale, Ghana

ABSTRACT

This paper deals with the applications of optimization principle in optimizing profits of a production industry using linear programming to examine the production cost and determine its optimal profit. Linear programming is an operation research technique which is widely used in finding solutions to managerial decision problems. However, many enterprises make more use of the trial-and-error method. As such, firms have been finding it difficult in allocating scarce resources in a manner that will ensure profit maximization and/or cost minimization.

This paper makse use of secondary data collected from the records of the Landmark University Bakery on five types of bread produced in the firm which include Family loaf, sliced family bread, Chocolate loaf, medium size bread, small size bread. A problem of this nature was identified as a linear programming problem, formulated in Mathematical terms and solved using AMPL software. The solution obtained revealed that Landmark bakery unit should concentrate much more in production of 14,000 loaves of Family loaf and 10,571 loaves of Chocolate bread while others type should be less produced since their value is turning to zero in order to achieve a maximum monthly profit of N1,860,000. From the analysis, it was observed that Family loaf and the Chocolate bread contributed objectively to the profit. Hence, more of Family loaf and Chocolate bread are needed to be produced and sold in order to maximize the profit.

Keywords: Linear, programming, production. Optimization, maximization, enterprises

Cite this Article: N. K Oladejo, A. Abolarinwa, S.O Salawu, A.F Lukman and H.I Bukari, Optimization Principle and Its' Application in Optimizing Landmark University Bakery Production Using Linear Programming, International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology, 10(02), 2019, pp. 183–190

<http://www.iaeme.com/IJCIET/issues.asp?JType=IJCIET&VType=10&IType=02>

1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of every organization, company or firm is to make profit as that will guarantees its continuous existence and productivity. In this modern day, manufacturing industries at all levels are faced with the challenges of producing goods of right quality, quantity and at right time and more especially at minimum cost and maximum profit for their survival and growth. Thus, this demands an increase in productive efficiency of the industry.

Linear programming (LP) can be defined as a mathematical technique for determining the best allocation of a firm's limited resources to achieve optimum goal. It is also a mathematical technique used in operation research or Management Sciences to solve specific problems such as allocation, transportation and assignment problems that permits a choice or choices between alternative courses of action. It is one of the most widely used optimization techniques and perhaps the most effective method. The term 'linear programming was coined by [1] which refers to problems in which both the objective function and constraints are provided as the Simplex method as published in [2].

[3] Studied the optimal production cost of raw materials to its production output using Linear programming solver to solve and to optimize its monthly production output. Based on their result, the monthly optimal production output was 1.2252E-08. The company has to budget at least the optimal result to achieve their monthly cost of production. The result helps the company to eliminate excess waste that incurs in their cost of production. Likewise, [4] examined optimization principle and its application in solving the problem of over-allocation and under-allocation of the classroom space using Linear Programming in Landmark University where linear programming model was formulated based on the data obtained from the examination and lecture timetable committee on the classroom facilities, capacities and the number of students per programme in all the three (3) Colleges to maximize the available classroom space and minimizes the congestion and overcrowding in a particular lecture room using AMPL software which revealed that 16 out of 32 classrooms available with a seating capacity of 2066 has always been used by the current student population of 2522 which always causes overflow and congestion in those concentrated classroom while the remaining 16 classrooms with the seating capacity of 805 were underutilized. Meanwhile it was revealed by the AMPL software if all these 32 classroom with seating capacity of 3544 were fully utilized, this indicated that an additional 1022 i.e.(3544-2522) students can be fully absorbed comfortably with the existing 32 classrooms in both of the three (3) colleges if the seating capacities are fully managed and maximized while the school management will generate additional income using the same classroom facility with the existing seating capacity.

[5] Focused on linear optimization for achieving product-mix optimization in terms of the product identification and the right quantity in paint production for better profit and optimum firm performance in Nigeria. Their result showed that only two out of the five products they

considered in their computational experiment are profitable. [6] Empirically examined the impact of linear programming in entrepreneur decision making process as an optimization technique for maximizing profit with the available resources. Their work drew examples from a fast food firm that encountered some challenges in the production of meat pie, chicken pie and doughnut due to an increment in the price of raw materials. Their results showed that there should be discontinuity in the production of chicken pie and doughnut and that they should concentrate with production of meat pie.

Using farm activities, [7] developed a linear program that reflects choices of selection that is feasible given a set of fixed farm constraints and maximizing income while achieving other goals such as food security. Their result obtained using linear programming is compared with the traditional methods. Their results obtained using the linear programming model shows that they are more superior. [8] showed that the product-mix problem can be used efficiently not only to determine the optimal operational points but also to provide information on how those optimal points could be further increased through changing the constraints of the optimization problem. Their results showed that this information could be used to enhance production by informing expansion plans in which management identifies and take advantage of the capacity of under-utilized constraints and use them to expand the capacity of over-utilized or limiting constraints. Therefore, this paper deal with the application of linear programming (LP) as an optimization principle to optimize profit of manufacturing industries such as Landmark University Bakery and determine the optimal solution for production and verify the output under normal operational environment using AMPL software.

2. MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION

This paper investigates the overall quantity and quality combination of the five products produced by Landmark University Bakery and the allocation of resources to the various products through the records kept by the manager of Landmark University Development Ventures (LMDV) and the Bakery Unit manager relating to the different brands of bread products produced by the firm, the technical coefficients, the raw materials available and their relative prices is shown in Table 1 below.

We present Linear programm as a general standard form to display all properties required of a linear programming problem. This consists of a linear objective function $f(x)$ such that real numbers c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n , then the function f of real variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n can be defined as:

$$f(x) = C_1X_1 + \dots + C_nX_n = \sum_{j=1}^n C_jx_j \tag{1}$$

Other properties include a linear constraint (which is one that is either a linear equation or linear inequality) and a non-negativity constraint. These can be written in mathematical

Notations as:

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j \leq b_i \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \quad \text{(Linear constraint)} \tag{2}$$

$$x_j \geq 0 \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \quad \text{(Non-negative constraint)} \tag{3}$$

Hence every linear program in the standard form can be generally presented as:

$$Z = \max. \sum_{j=1}^n C_jx_j \tag{4}$$

Subject to

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j \leq b_i \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$$

$$x_j \geq 0 \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$
(5)

(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) satisfy all the constraints of linear program, then the assignment of values to these variables are called a feasible solution of the linear program.

2.1. Linear programming

We consider a linear programming of the form:

$$\text{Maximize } F = \sum_{j=1}^n C_j X_j$$

Subject to

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a(i, j)X_j = b_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$l_j \leq X_j \leq u_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$
(6)

Where C_j are then objective function coefficients $a(i, j)$ and b are parameters in the linear inequality constraints and l_j and u_j are lower and upper bounds with $l_j \leq u_j$. Both l_j and u_j may be positive or negative

2.2. Formulation of LP model

Mathematical models were constructed for the production of various type of bread produced by the LMU bakery unit. The objective of the model was to minimize cost of producing a particular product after satisfying a set of constraints. These constraints were mainly those from nutrients requirements of the bread and the ingredients. The variables in the models were the ingredients while the cost of each ingredients and the nutrient valued of each ingredient was the parameter.

The specified L.P model for the attainment of the objective function is as follows:

$$\text{maximize } z = a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + a_3x_3 + a_4x_4 + a_5x_5$$
(7)

subject to:

$$x_j \geq 0 \quad j = (1, 2, \dots, n)$$

$$c_{11}x_1 + c_{12}x_2 + c_{13}x_3 + c_{14}x_4 + c_{15}x_5 \leq b_1$$

$$c_{21}x_1 + c_{22}x_2 + c_{23}x_3 + c_{24}x_4 + c_{25}x_5 \leq b_2$$

$$c_{31}x_1 + c_{32}x_2 + c_{33}x_3 + c_{34}x_4 + c_{35}x_5 \leq b_3$$

$$c_{41}x_1 + c_{42}x_2 + c_{43}x_3 + c_{44}x_4 + c_{45}x_5 \leq b_4$$

$$c_{51}x_1 + c_{52}x_2 + c_{53}x_3 + c_{54}x_4 + c_{55}x_5 \leq b_5$$

$$c_{61}x_1 + c_{62}x_2 + c_{63}x_3 + c_{64}x_4 + c_{65}x_5 \leq b_6$$

$$c_{71}x_1 + c_{72}x_2 + c_{73}x_3 + c_{74}x_4 + c_{75}x_5 \leq b_7$$

$$c_{81}x_1 + c_{82}x_2 + c_{83}x_3 + c_{84}x_4 + c_{85}x_5 \leq b_8$$
(8)

3. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS OF RESULTS

Table 1 below presents five different types of breads produced by LMU bakery, their production cost, selling price and profit. Table 2: shows basic eight (8) raw materials used for the production of bread at Landmark University Bakery, The combinations of the quantities of these eight basic raw materials (raw material mix) for bread production per loaf (in grams), and the maximum quantity of each raw material held in stock for monthly production is also captured in the table. This information is used to determine the production cost (in terms of raw materials) per loaf of bread produced by the bakery.

Table 1 Shows types of Bread, Cost and selling price with the profits

	Name of Product	Production cost per loaf(₦)	Selling price per loaf (₦)	Profit (₦)
1	Family loaf (x_1)	220	300	80
2	Family loaf slice (x_2)	240	300	60
3	Chocolate bread (x_3)	280	350	70
4	Medium size loaf (x_4)	150	200	50
5	Small size loaf (x_5)	70	100	30

Source: Landmark bakery 2018

Table2 shows the raw material Mix used for Bread Production per Baking

Raw Materials	Type of Bread and their Raw Material Mix					Total Quantity Per month in (grams) (approx.)
	X ₁	X ₂	X ₃	X ₄	X ₅	
Flour	400	450	350	320	200	9300000
Yeast	30	25	20	15	10	2800000
Milk	30	35	45	25	15	6500000
Egg	100	100	80	75	50	6000000
Water	280	280	220	180	150	8800000
Flavour	30	30	50	20	10	1200000
Butter	45	45	35	25	15	1000000
Sugar	30	30	35	20	10	1500000

Source: Landmark Bakery records 2018

3.1. FORMULATION OF LINEAR PROGRAMMING

Both the objective function and the constraints values were inserted into the linear programming model as shown below

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Maximize } P : 80x_1 + 60x_2 + 70x_3 + 50x_4 + 30x_5 \\
 & \text{Subject to :} \\
 & \text{Flour : } 400x_1 + 450x_2 + 350x_3 + 320x_4 + 200x_5 \leq 9300000 \\
 & \text{Yeast : } 30x_1 + 25x_2 + 20x_3 + 15x_4 + 10x_5 \leq 2800000 \\
 & \text{Milk : } 30x_1 + 35x_2 + 45x_3 + 25x_4 + 15x_5 \leq 6500000 \\
 & \text{Egg : } 100x_1 + 100x_2 + 80x_3 + 75x_4 + 50x_5 \leq 6000000 \\
 & \text{Water : } 280x_1 + 280x_2 + 180x_3 + 220x_4 + 150x_5 \leq 8800000 \\
 & \text{Flavour : } 30x_1 + 30x_2 + 50x_3 + 20x_4 + 10x_5 \leq 1200000 \\
 & \text{Butter : } 45x_1 + 45x_2 + 35x_3 + 25x_4 + 15x_5 \leq 1000000 \\
 & \text{Sugar : } 30x_1 + 30x_2 + 45x_3 + 20x_4 + 10x_5 \leq 15000000 \\
 & x_j \geq 0 \\
 & j = (1,2...n) \rightarrow \text{non-negativity}
 \end{aligned}$$

3.2. Formation of Slack Variables

In order to represent the above LP model in canonical form, six slack variables w_i ($i = 1,2,\dots,6$) were introduced into the model. This changed the inequalities signs in the constraint aspect of the model to equality signs. A slack variable will account for the unused quantity of raw material (if any) at end of the production.

As a result, the above LP model yields:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Maximize } P : 80x_1 + 60x_2 + 70x_3 + 50x_4 + 30x_5 \\
 & \text{Subject to :} \\
 & \text{Flour : } 400x_1 + 450x_2 + 350x_3 + 320x_4 + 200x_5 + w_1 = 9300000 \\
 & \text{Yeast : } 30x_1 + 25x_2 + 20x_3 + 15x_4 + 10x_5 + w_2 = 2800000 \\
 & \text{Milk : } 30x_1 + 35x_2 + 45x_3 + 25x_4 + 15x_5 + w_3 = 6500000 \\
 & \text{Egg : } 100x_1 + 100x_2 + 80x_3 + 75x_4 + 50x_5 + w_4 = 6000000 \\
 & \text{Water : } 280x_1 + 280x_2 + 180x_3 + 220x_4 + 150x_5 + w_5 = 8800000 \\
 & \text{Flavour : } 30x_1 + 30x_2 + 50x_3 + 20x_4 + 10x_5 + w_6 = 1200000 \\
 & \text{Butter : } 45x_1 + 45x_2 + 35x_3 + 25x_4 + 15x_5 + w_7 = 1000000 \\
 & \text{Sugar : } 30x_1 + 30x_2 + 45x_3 + 20x_4 + 10x_5 + w_8 = 15000000 \\
 & x_j \geq 0 \\
 & j = (1,2...n) \rightarrow \text{non-negativity}
 \end{aligned}$$

We analyse this program by Simplex method proposed by George Danzig (1947 and published in Danzig(1963) which have been found to be more efficient and convenient for computer software implementation (AMPL program) which is a present day application used for solving Mathematical equations.

3.3. Program written in AMPL to generate the Results

```
var x1 >= 0; #family size bread
var x2 >= 0; #sliced family size bread
var x3 >= 0; #chocolate bread
var x4 >= 0; #medium
var x5 >= 0; #100 naira
Maximize z: 80*x1 + 60*x2 + 70*x3 + 50*x4 + 30*x5;
s.t. M1: 400*x1 + 450*x2 + 350*x3 + 320*x4 + 200*x5 <= 9300000; #flour
s.t. M2: 30*x1 + 25*x2 + 20*x3 + 15*x4 + 10*x5 <= 2800000; #yeast
s.t. M3: 30*x1 + 35*x2 + 45*x3 + 25*x4 + 15*x5 <= 6500000; #milk
s.t. M4: 100*x1 + 100*x2 + 80*x3 + 75*x4 + 50*x5 <= 6000000; #egg
s.t. M5: 30*x1 + 30*x2 + 45*x3 + 20*x4 + 10*x5 <= 1500000; #sugar
s.t. M6: 280*x1 + 280*x2 + 180*x3 + 150*x4 + 220*x5 <= 8800000; #water
s.t. M7: 30*x1 + 30*x2 + 50*x3 + 20*x4 + 10*x5 <= 1200000; #flavour
s.t. M8: 45*x1 + 45*x2 + 35*x3 + 25*x4 + 15*x5 <= 1000000; #butter
reset;
model bsc.mod;
solve;
display x1, x2, x3, x4, x5, z;
ampl: include bsc.run;
MINOS 5.51: optimal solution found.
3 iterations, objective 186000
x1 = 14000
x2 = 0
x3 = 10571.4
x4 = 0
x5 = 0
z = 1860000
```

4. ANALYSIS OF RESULTS GENERATED BY THE AMPL

Results from the analysis carried out on the Linear Programming model using Simplex method through AMPL software estimated the value of the objective function to be ₦1860000. The contributions of the five decision variables x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 into the objective function are 14000, 0, 10571, 0 and 0 respectively. This simply shows that only x_1 and x_3 variables contributed meaningfully to improve the value of the objective function of the Linear Programming model with 14000 and 10571 respectively.

From the results of the Linear Programming model, it is therefore desirable and profitable for Landmark University Bakery unit to concentrate much more on the production of x_1 (family size bread) and x_3 (chocolate bread) production. By this, total sales of about 14000 loaves of x_1 and 10571 loaves of x_3 would be sold by the LMU Bakery per month. This would fetch the Bakery an optimal profit of about ₦1, 860,000 per month based on the costs of raw materials and the capacity of the oven only

5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have successfully examines various type, quantities and the cost of Landmark University Bakery production. We determine its' optimal solution using the

secondary data collected from the records of the Landmark University Bakery on five types of bread produced in the firm through a linear programming problem formulated as a Mathematical terms using AMPL software. The solution revealed that the bakery manager should concentrate much more in production of loaves of Family loaf and loaves of Chocolate bread while others type should be less produced since their value is gradually turning to zero in order to achieve a maximum monthly profit of ₦1,860,000. From the analysis, it was also revealed that Family loaf and the Chocolate bread contributed objectively to the highest and optimal profit. Hence, more of Family loaf and Chocolate bread are needed to be produced and sold in order to maximize the profit.

REFERENCES

- [1] George Danzig. The Dantzig simplex method for linear programming. IEEEExplore Vol.2 Issue 1 (1947).
- [2] Danzig Linear Programming and Extension. Princeton University Press (1963).ISBN 781400884179
- [3] Ezeliora and Obiafudo .Optimization of production cost using Linear Programming solver. Journal of Scientific and Engineering (2015).pp. 13-21
- [4] Oladejo N.K, Abolarinwa A, Salawu S.O, Bamiro M.O, Lukman A.F and Bukari H.I. Application of Optimization Principles in Classroom Allocation using Linear Programming. International Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Technology, Vol.10 Issue 01 (2019) pp. 874-885
- [5] Adebisi, S.O., Amole, B.B., and Soile, I.O Linear optimization techniques for product-Mix of paints production in Nigeria. *AUDCE*. Vol.10. No.1, (2014): pp. 181-190.
- [6] Ibitoye, O., Atoyebi, K.O., Genevieve, K., and Kadiri, K., Entrepreneur Decision making process and application of linear programming technique. *European Journal of Business, Economics and Accountancy* Vol.3, No.5, . (2015) pp.1-5
- [7] Felix, M., Judith, M., Jonathan, M., and Munashe, S., Modelling a small farm livelihood system using linear programming in Bindura, Zimbabwe. *Research Journal of Management Sciences*, 2 (5) (2013) pp. 20-23.
- [8] Vakilifard, H., Esmalifalak, H., and Behzadpoor, M., Profit Optimization and Post Optimality Analysis using Linear Programming. *World Journal of Social Sciences* Vol.3, No.2, (2013): pp.127-137
- [9] Izaz, U.K., Norkhairul, H.B., and Imran, A.J., Optimal Production Planning for ICI Pakistan using Linear Programming and Sensitivity Analysis. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, Vol.2, No.23, (2011): pp.206-212.
- [10] Junaid, A.A., and Mukhtar, H.S., Development of Optimal Cutting Plan using Linear Programming Tools and MATLAB Algorithm. *International Journal of Innovation, Management and Technology*, Vol.1, No.5, (2010): pp.483-492.
- [11] Rajeiyan, K., Nejati, F.K., Hajati, R., Safari, H.R., and Alizadeh, E., Using Linear Programming in Solving the Problem of Services Company's Costs. *Singaporean Journal of Business Economics and Management Studies*, Vol.1, No.10, (2013): pp.68-73.
- [12] Veselovska, L., A Linear Programming Model of Integrating Flexibility Measures into Production Processes with Cost Minimization. *Journal of Small Business and Entrepreneurship Development*, Vol.2, No.1, (2014): pp.67-82.
- [13] Anieting, A.E., Ezugwu, V.O., and Ologun, S. Application of Linear Programming Technique in the Determination of Optimum Production Capacity. *IOSR Journal of Mathematics (IOSR-JM)*, 5 (6), (2013): 62-65.